

## DICATIONIC 2-FLUORENONYLCARBAPENEMS: POTENT ANTI-MRS AGENTS WITH IMPROVED SOLUBILITY AND PHARMACOKINETIC PROPERTIES

Mark L. Greenlee, a\* Joanne B. Laub, a Gregory P. Rouen, a Frank DiNinno, a Milton L. Hammond, a Joann L. Huber, b Jon G. Sundelof, b and Gail G. Hammond c

Departments of aMedicinal Chemistry, bInfectious Disease, and cBiochemistry Merck Research Laboratories, P.O. Box 2000, Rahway, NJ 07065, U.S.A.

Received 10 September 1999; accepted 5 October 1999

Abstract: The synthesis and biological evaluation of a series of dicationic-substituted 2-fluorenonyl-carbapenems is described. This class of compounds showed enhanced water solubility while maintaining potent activity against MRS. Introduction of a 1-β-methyl substituent was found to improve pharmacokinetics. © 1999 Elsevier Science Ltd. All rights reserved.

Introduction: Infections caused by methicillin-resistant staphylococci (MRS) are becoming an increasingly serious problem worldwide.<sup>1</sup> Strains of MRS are generally multiply drug-resistant, including to all currently available β-lactam antibiotics, and thus the therapeutic options for treating these infections are extremely limited.<sup>2</sup> Vancomycin is currently the drug of choice for treating MRS infections, but reports of MRS strains resistant to this antibiotic have started to appear.<sup>3</sup> Recent reports from these laboratories have described the discovery of 2-meta-biphenylcarbapenems with excellent activity against MRS.<sup>4</sup> Further refinements led to L-695,256, a 2-fluorenonylcarbapenem with potent activity against MRS both in vitro and in vivo.<sup>5</sup> The enhanced MRS activity of these 2-arylcarbapenems is believed to be due to increased binding to PBP2a, the low affinity penicillin-binding protein which mediates methicillin resistance in staphylococci.<sup>6</sup> In addition, a cationic substituent on the aryl sidechain, as in L-695,256, has been found to be beneficial for in vivo efficacy through a reduction in serum protein binding. However, the development of L-695,256 and related zwitterionic 2-arylcarbapenems has been plagued by low water solubility. This led us to investigate the replacement of the methylimidazolium group of L-695,256 with a dicationic substituent in order to increase hydrophilicity and thereby improve water solubility. Such a compound would also have an associated counterion which could be

varied to modify its physical properties if necessary. After examining several types of dicationic groups, we found that substitution with a dicationic moiety derived from 1,4-diazabicyclo[2.2.2]octane (DABCO) led to compounds with particularly favorable properties. Members of the resulting class of cationic zwitterions showed generally enhanced water solubility while maintaining good activity against MRS. Both these properties were, however, influenced by the nature of the DABCO substituent. A study of the structure-activity relationships led to the identification of L-741,462 as a promising candidate with excellent water solubility and activity against MRS approximately equal to that of vancomycin. The introduction of a  $1-\beta$ -methyl substituent to give L-742,728 was also investigated,<sup>7,8</sup> and led to significantly improved pharmacokinetic parameters.

Chemistry: The protected 2-fluorenonylcarbapenem intermediates 1a and 1b were synthesized by the Suzuki cross-coupling method of Yasuda et. al. Introduction of the dicationic substituent was accomplished by an activation-displacement process (Scheme 1). The alcohol 1a was converted to the benzylic iodide 2a by mesylation followed by treatment with sodium iodide. Reaction of 2a with a variety of mono-quaternized DABCO reagents 3<sup>10</sup> was promoted with silver triflate to provide the diammonium bis-triflate salts 4a. Silver triflate promotion was found to give a cleaner, more rapid reaction than direct displacement of the iodide. As an added advantage, the bis-triflate salts 4a often were highly crystalline and could be isolated in pure form by precipitation with diethyl ether. The corresponding 1-β-methylcarbapenem analogs 4b were synthesized in an exactly analogous manner starting with intermediate 1b in Scheme 1. Removal of the protecting groups from

## Scheme 1

- (a) i. MsCl, Et<sub>3</sub>N, CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub>, -20 °C; ii. Nal, acetone (90-95%); (b) AgOTf, CH<sub>3</sub>CN (75-95%);
- (c) i. HCl,  $\rm H_2O$ , THF; ii.  $\rm H_2$ , Rh/C, pH 7; (d) i. NaCl,  $\rm H_2O$ ; ii. Reverse phase MPLC.

**4a** or **4b** was accomplished in a one pot sequence. The triethylsilyl ether was first hydrolyzed in acidic aqueous THF (~pH 2.3) at 0 °C. After adjusting to pH 7 with MOPS buffer, the *p*-nitrobenzyl ester was removed by hydrogenolysis at atmospheric pressure over rhodium on carbon. The use of rhodium on carbon as catalyst minimized reductive cleavage of the benzylic diammonium group, which was a significant side-reaction when palladium on carbon was employed. Purification was accomplished by reverse phase MPLC on Amberchrom<sup>®</sup> CG-1000 polystyrene resin to give the carbapenem antibiotics (see Tables 1–2).

**Biological Evaluation:** Table 1 presents the in vitro antibacterial activity of a variety of DABCO-based dicationic 2-fluorenonylcarbapenems evaluated against panels of clinically relevant strains of methicillin-resistant *Staphylococcus aureus* (MRSA) and methicillin-resistant coagulase negative staphylococci (MRCNS). The prototype **5** (R<sup>1</sup> = Me) was less active against MRSA than L-695,256, but was equipotent versus the MRCNS panel. Structure-activity studies resulted in improvement in activity as the DABCO substituent R<sup>1</sup> was extended or functionalized. The best compound in this initial series was the carbamoyl-methyl substituted analog L-741,462, which showed increased activity against both MRSA (0.5x vancomycin) and MRCNS (2x vancomycin) and was highly soluble in water (>100 mg/mL).

**Table 1.** Anti-MRSA/MRCNS Activity and PBP2a Binding

						-O <sub>2</sub> -			
			MRSA (n=15) <sup>a</sup> MR			CNS (n=9			
Compd	R	R <sup>1</sup>	Range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	Range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	PBP2a IC <sub>50</sub> b
5	Н	Me	1–8	2	8	2–4	2	4	0.18
6	Н	Et	18	2	4	1-4	2	4	0.25
7	Н	<i>n</i> -Pr	0.5–4	2	4	1–4	2	4	0.28
8	н	CH₂CH₂OH	0.5–8	2	4	1–4	2	4	0.17
9	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	1~8	2	8	1-4	4	4	0.80
10	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CO <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>3</sub>	2-32	8	16	4–32	16	16	
11	Н	CH₂COCH3	1~8	2	8	2–8	4	4	
12	H	CH₂COPh	0.5–4	2	4	0.5–4	2	4	J
13	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CN	0.5-4	2	4	1–4	2	4	0.29
L-741,462	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CONH <sub>2</sub>	0.5-4	1	4	1–4	2	2	0.34
14	Ме	Me	1~4	2	4	2-8	4	8	0.74
15	Me	<i>n</i> -Pr	1~8	4	4	2–8	4	8	0.57
16	Me	CH₂CH₂OH	1-4	4	4	2–16	4	8	0.65
17	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OH	1~4	2	4	2-16	4	8	0.47
18	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CN	0.5–8	2	4	2–8	4	8	0.29
19	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> Ph	0.25-4	1	2	1–8	2	8	2.2
20	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> COPh	0.25-4	1	2	1–8	2	4	0.62
21	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CH <sub>2</sub> OPh	0.25-2	1	2	0.5-4	2	4	0.40
22	Me	(CH <sub>2</sub> ) <sub>3</sub> CONH <sub>2</sub>	1~8	4	4	2–16	4	8	2.0
L-742,728	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CONH <sub>2</sub>	1-4	4	4	2–8	4	8	0.80
23	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CONHMe	1-8	2	8	1–4	2	4	
24	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CONMe <sub>2</sub>	1-8	4	4	1–4	4	4	
25	Н	CH <sub>2</sub> CONHPh	0.063-2	0.5	2	0.25–2	1	1	0.33
26	Me	CH₂CONHMe	18	4	8	2-8	4	8	0.33
27	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CONMe <sub>2</sub>	2-8	4	8	2-16	4	16	0.39
28	Me	CH₂CONHPh	0.5–2	2	2	0.5-4	2	4	1.28
29	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CON(Me)Ph	1-4	4	4	2–16	8	16	0.90
30	Me	CH₂CONH(n-Pr)	1-4	2	4	2–8	4	8	0.84
31	Me	CH₂CONH( <i>i</i> -Pr)	0.5-4	2	4	1–8	4	8	0.85
32	Me	CH <sub>2</sub> CONH(cyclopentyl)	0.5-4	2	4	2–8	4	8	1.0
33	Me	CH₂CONH <i>t</i> -Bu	0.5-4	2	4	1–8	4	8	2.4
34	Ме	CH2CONHCH2Ph	0.13–2	1	2	0.5–4	1	4	0.97
	L-	695,256	0.25-4	1	2	0.5-4	2	4	0.5
	Imipenem <sup>c</sup>		1-128	64	128	32-256	128	256	100
	Vancomycin <sup>c</sup>		1-4	1	2	2-8	4	4	

(a) MICs ( $\mu$ g/mL) were determined using the broth microdilution method. Mueller-Hinton broth + 2% NaCl, inoculum  $-10^5$  cfu/mL, incubation at 35 °C for 46 h. MICs read to no visible growth. (b) Binding to PBP2a ( $\mu$ g/mL) was evaluated by competition analysis with [ $^3$ H]-benzylpenicillin using cell membrane fractions from the MRSA COL strain. (c) Antibacterial data for imipenem and vancomycin reflect the mode of twenty-nine measurements of each panel of strains.

Introduction of a 1- $\beta$ -methyl group was found to have little effect on MRSA activity, but generally resulted in a reduction in activity against MRCNS. This trend is illustrated by comparing L-741,462 with its 1- $\beta$ -methyl counterpart L-742,728. However, this decrease in activity was offset by a substantial improvement in pharmacokinetic parameters (vide infra). A number of substituted amide derivatives of compounds L-741,462 and L-742,728 were prepared (23–34, Table 1), with an emphasis on the 1- $\beta$ -methyl series based on its

pharmacokinetic advantage. Among these analogs, the phenyl amides 25 and 28 stood out by virtue of their excellent MRS activity. Unfortunately, the presence of an additional hydrophobic moiety in these compounds resulted in an unacceptable decrease in water solubility. This effect was also observed with certain other hydrophobic analogs (12, 19, 21 and 34), while the majority of the compounds in Table 1 showed good solubility in water (>20 mg/mL). Introduction of polar substituents on the phenyl ring of 28 and replacement of the phenyl with a heteroaryl group were both explored, but did not restore adequate aqueous solubility (Table 2). However, further increases in activity were achieved: the most potent compound, the 4-carbamoyl substituted analog 48, was four-fold more active than vancomycin against MRSA and twice as active against MRCNS. Consistent with the good MRS activity of the compounds in Tables 1–2, all were found to bind to PBP2a with high affinity (IC<sub>50</sub> <2.5  $\mu$ g/mL). However, small variations in MRS activity do not correlate with PBP2a IC<sub>50</sub> values, suggesting that other factors are also important in determining exact levels of potency.

**Table 2.** Anti-MRSA/MRCNS Activity and PBP2a Binding

					CO <sub>2</sub> -			
		MRSA (n=15)a		MRCNS (n=9)a				
Compd	R <sup>2</sup>	Range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	Range	MIC <sub>50</sub>	MIC <sub>90</sub>	PBP2a IC <sub>50</sub> t
35	2-pyridyl	0.25-4	2	4	1–8	2	8	0.31
36	3-pyridyl	0.25-4	1	2	1–8	2	4	0.44
37	4-pyridyl	0.25-2	1	2	1–8	2	4	0.86
38	2-thiazoyl	0.5–4	2	4	2-16	4	8	0.62
39	3-HOCH <sub>2</sub> -Ph	0.13-4	1	2	0.5-4	1	4	1.2
40	4-HOCH <sub>2</sub> -Ph	0.25-2	1	2	0.25-4	1	4	2.0
41	4-(HOCH2CH2)-Ph	0.25–2	1	2	0.5–8	2	4	1.0
42	2-MeO-Ph	0.25-2	1	2	1–8	2	4	0.65
43	3-MeO-Ph	0.13-2	1	1	0.25-4	1	4	1.82
44	4-MeO-Ph	0.13-2	1	1	0.54	1	4	0.89
45	4-HO-Ph	≤0.03–1	0.5	1	0.5-4	1	4	0.48
46	2-(H <sub>2</sub> NCO)-Ph	0.25-4	2	4	1–16	2	8	0.78
47	3-(H <sub>2</sub> NCO)-Ph	0.13-2	1	1	0.5–4	1	2	0.93
48	4-(H <sub>2</sub> NCO)-Ph	≤0.03-0.5	0.25	0.5	0.13-2	0.5	2	1.5
49	2-(NC)-Ph	0.25–2	1	2	2–8	2	4	0.82
50	3-(NC)-Ph	≤0.03–1	0.5	1	0.25-2	1	2	0.56
51	4-(NC)-Ph	0.06–2	1	2	0.5–4	1	4	1.05
52	3-(MeCO)-Ph	0.06–2	1	2	0.5-4	1	4	0.98
53	4-(MeCO)-Ph	≤0.03–2	0.5	11	0.25-4	1	4	2.4
L-695,256		0.25-4	1	2	0.5-4	2	4	0.5
Imipenem <sup>c</sup>		1-128	64	128	32-256	128	256	100
Vancomycin <sup>c</sup>		1-4	1	2	2–8	4	4	

For footnotes a, b, c, see Table 1.

The in vitro antibacterial activity of L-741,462 and L-742,728 against a range of gram-positive and gram-negative bacteria is shown in Table 3. In addition to excellent activity against MRS, both compounds were also more active than imipenem against vancomycin-resistant enterococcal strains and penicillin-resistant S. pneumoniae, albeit less so than L-695,256. While primarily active against gram-positive bacteria, these

dicationic 2-fluorenonylcarbapenems also demonstrated significant activity against a panel of thirteen gram-negative organisms selected from eight enteric genera. As expected for 2-arylcarbapenems,8 both compounds were substantially less susceptible to the mammalian dehydropeptidase, DHP-I, than imipenem.

In a mouse systemic infection model, L-741,462 and L-742,728 were as efficacious as imipenem and L-695,256 against methicillin-susceptible S. aureus (MSSA). Against an MRSA infection, the two cationic zwitterions were both more active than vancomycin and comparable in activity to L-695,256 (Table 4). The pharmacokinetic parameters for L-741,462 and L-742,728 in rhesus monkeys are compared in Table 5. The 1-B-methyl compound showed significantly better performance with a slower rate of clearance from the plasma, an extended terminal half-life and a substantially higher urinary recovery. The pharmacokinetic performance of L-742,728 was also superior to that of L-695,256 and imipenem. 11

Species (No.)	L-741,462	L-742,728	MIC (μg/mL) <sup>a</sup> <b>L-695,256</b>	lr
Table 3. Antibacterial	Spectrum of L-7	41,462 and L-		

Vancomycin mipenem 0.03 0.03 0.03 0.03 MSSA (4) 2 E. faecalis (1) 0.125 0.5 0.125 32 8 VanR E. faecalis (1) 1 2 4 >64 >64 VanR E. faecium (1) 16 8 ≤0.031 ≤0.031 < 0.031 ≤0.031 0.25 S. pvogenes (1) 0.25 PenR S. pneumoniae (2) 0.09 0.24 ≤0.03 0.35 0.45 >64 Gram Negative (13) 1.05 3.6 1.37

0.021

0.078

1.0

Table 4. In Vivo Activity in a Mouse Systemic Infection Model

0.055

DHP-I Susceptibilityb

		L-741,462	L-742,728	L-695,256	Vanco	Imipenem
MSSAa	MIC (μg/mL)	0.004	0.031	0.008	1	0.008
	ED <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg)	0.042	0.021	0.034	3.7	0.021
MRSAb	MIC (μg/mL)	1	2	1	1	64
	ED <sub>50</sub> (mg/kg/dose)	0.5	1	0.92	2.33	15.7

<sup>(</sup>a) Charles River CD-1 female mice. Infecting organism S. aureus MB2985. Challenge dose ~4x107 cfu IP in brain heart broth. Antibiotics administered SC at 0 h. (b) Taconic Farms DBA/2 female mice. Infecting organism MR S. aureus 76 Virginia. Challenge dose ~1x107 cfu IP in 5% hog gastric mucin. Antibiotics administered SC at 0 and +4 h.

Table 5. Pharmacokinetic Parameters in Rhesus Monkeysa

		L-741,462	L-742,728	L-695,256 (n=3)	IPM/CILb
Plasma Clearance	(mL/min/kg)	4.74	2.95	5.56 ± 0.76	6.72
Renal Clearance	(mL/min/kg)	1.88	2.72	$2.34 \pm 0.81$	5.44
GFR	(mL/min/kg)	2.96	3.48	3.19 ± 0.51	2.98
AUC, 0 - ∞	(μg • h/mL)	35.14	56.44	30.4 ± 4.5	24.79
Urinary Recovery	(0 – ∞, %)	41	87	41 ± 11	82
β t <sub>1/2</sub>	(h)	0.91	1.35	$1.17 \pm 0.14$	0.60

<sup>(</sup>a) Dose = 10 mg/kg by IV bolus injection. Compounds compared in direct cross-over experiments in a ~4.6 kg rhesus monkey except for L-695,256, for which the mean values and standard deviation from data obtained with three monkeys (3.7-7.4 kg) are given. For methodology see ref 11. (b) Imipenem/Cilastatin.

<sup>(</sup>a) Broth microtube dilution method. Tested in Mueller-Hinton broth (MHB) at ~10<sup>5</sup> cfu/mL with the following exceptions: Enterococci tested in brain heart infusion broth at ~106 cfu/mL; Streptococcus tested in MHB + 2.5% lysed horse blood. Incubation at 35 °C for 20-22 h. Activity is reported as the geometric mean of the MICs for the number of strains indicated. (b) DHP-I (porcine). Rate of hydrolysis relative to imipenem as substrate.

Conclusions: A new class of water soluble MRS-active 2-fluorenonylcarbapenems based on a DABCO dicationic motif has been discovered. Structure-activity studies led to the identification of L-742,728, which was found to have activity against MRS comparable to that of vancomycin both in vitro and in vivo, significantly improved pharmacokinetic properties and high water solubility in both amorphous and crystalline forms. While the development of L-742,728 has not been pursued due to an immune-based toxicity, 12 the lessons that have been learned in this study should aid in the ultimate development of carbapenems 13 or other β-lactam antibiotics for the treatment of infections due to MRS.

Acknowledgments: The authors wish to thank Dr. Ann DeCamp and her Process Research team for a generous donation of intermediate 1a, Dr. Thomas Rano for his work on optimizing the synthesis of 1b, Dr. Gerard Kieczykowski and Mr. Peter Cicala for large scale preparations of 1a and 1b, and Joyce Kohler, Karen Dorso and Earl St. Rose for microbiological support.

## **References and Notes**

- (a) Voss, A.; Doebbeling, B. N. Int. J. Antimicrob. Agents 1995, 5, 101. (b) Brumfitt, W.; Hamilton-Miller, J. M. T. Drugs Exptl. Clin. Res. 1994, 20, 215. (c) Chambers, H. F. Clin. Microbiol. Rev. 1997, 10, 781. (d) Ayliffe, G. A. J. Clin. Infect. Dis. 1997, 24 (Suppl 1), S74.
- 2. Wadsworth, S. J.; Kim, K.-H.; Satishchandran, V.; Axelrod, P.; Truant, A. L.; Suh, B. J. Antimicrob. Chemother. 1992, 30, 821.
- 3. Tenover, F. C.; Lancaster, M. V.; Hill, B. C.; Steward, C. D.; Stocker, S. A.; Hancock, G. A.; O'Hara, C. M.; Clark, N. C.; Hiramatsu, K. J. Clin. Microbiol. 1998, 36, 1020.
- (a) DiNinno, F.; Muthard, D. A.; Salzmann, T. N.; Huber, J. L.; Kahan, J.; Kropp, H. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995, 5, 945. (b) Meurer, L. C.; Guthikonda, R. N.; Huber, J. L.; DiNinno, F. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1995, 5, 767.
- 5. (a) Sasor, M. F.; Cama, L. D.; Greenlee, M. L.; DiNinno, F. P.; Heck, J. V. Abstracts of Papers, 34th Interscience Conference on Antimicrobial Agents and Chemotherapy, Orlando, FL, Oct 4-7, 1994; American Society for Microbiology: Washington, DC, 1994; Abstract F50. (b) Chambers, H. F. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1995, 39, 462.
- 6. For a review of the molecular aspects of methicillin resistance in staphylococci see: Ehlert, K. Curr. Pharm. Design 1999, 5, 45.
- 7. This substitution has not generally been found to be beneficial with 2-arylcarbapenems due to their inherent chemical and metabolic stability (cf ref 8).
- Guthikonda, R. N.; Cama, L. D.; Quesada, M.; Woods, M. F.; Salzmann, T. N.; Christensen, B. G. J. Med. Chem. 1987, 30, 871.
- (a) Yasuda, N.; Xavier, L.; Rieger, D. L.; Li, Y.; DeCamp, A. E.; Dolling, U.-H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1993, 34, 3211.
  (b) Yasuda, N.; Huffman, M. A.; Ho, G.-J.; Xavier, L. C.; Yang, C.; Emerson, K. M.; Tsay, F.-R.; Li, Y.; Kress, M. H.; Rieger, D. L.; Karady, S.; Sohar, P.; Abramson, N. L.; DeCamp, A. E.; Mathre, D. J.; Douglas, A. W.; Dolling, U.-H.; Grabowski, E. J. J.; Reider, P. J. J. Org. Chem. 1998, 63, 5438.
- 10. Reagents 3 were readily synthesized as shown below by alkylation of DABCO with the requisite alkyl bromide followed by anion exchange with silver triflate.

$$\stackrel{\text{N}}{ \bigcirc_{\text{N}}} \quad \stackrel{\text{R}^1\text{Br}}{ \quad \text{CH}_3\text{CN}} \quad \stackrel{\text{N}}{ \quad \bigvee_{\text{R}^1}} \stackrel{\Theta}{ \quad \text{Br}} \quad \stackrel{\text{AgOTf}}{ \quad \text{CH}_3\text{OH}} \quad \stackrel{\text{N}}{ \quad \bigvee_{\text{R}^1}} \stackrel{\Theta}{ \quad \text{OTf}}$$

- 11. Sundelof, J. G.; Thompson, R.; White, K. M.; Sasor, M. W.; Cama, L.; Kropp, H. Antimicrob. Agents Chemother. 1996, 40, 795.
- (a) Rosen, H.; Hajdu, R.; Silver, L.; Kropp, H.; Dorso, K.; Kohler, J.; Sundelof, J. G.; Huber, J.; Hammond, G. G.; Jackson, J. J.; Gill, C. J.; Thompson, R.; Pelak, B. A.; Epstein-Toney, J. H.; Lankas, G.; Wilkening, R. R.; Wildonger, K. J.; Blizzard, T. A.; DiNinno, F. P.; Ratcliffe, R. W.; Heck, J. V.; Kozarich, J. W.; Hammond, M. L. Science 1999, 283, 703. (b) Lankas, G. R.; Coleman, J. B.; Klein, H. J.; Bailly, Y. Toxicol. 1996, 108, 207.
- 13. Ratcliffe, R. W.; Wilkening, R. R.; Wildonger, K. J.; Waddell, S. T.; Santorelli, G. M.; Parker, Jr., D. L.; Morgan, J. D.; Blizzard, T. A.; Hammond, M. L.; Heck, J. V.; Huber, J.; Kohler, J.; Dorso, K. L.; St. Rose, E.; Sundelof, J. G.; May, W. J.; Hammond, G. G. Bioorg. Med. Chem. Lett. 1999, 9, 679.